

*OpenFlows
FLOOD was the
software used
as the integrated
modeling capability
to estimate the flood
characteristics
and the associated
inundated maps.*

**Find out about Bentley
at: www.bentley.com**

Contact Bentley
1-800-BENTLEY (1-800-236-8539)
Outside the US +1 610-458-5000

Global Office Listings
www.bentley.com/contact

This determination enables the flood flow characteristics to be estimated. Hazard mapping was also obtained, integrating maximum depth and associated velocity.

For all the zones to be modeled, two-dimensional models were implemented, using MOHID Land (for the inland waters) and MOHID Water (applied to estuarine zones). These 2D models are based on the equation of conservation of mass and conservation of momentum in two horizontal directions. With these models, the bed is discretized with high-resolution finite element meshes, determining the components of the flow velocity in the horizontal plane and considering the respective average value according to the vertical.

At each study site, the various available topographic data sources were collected and integrated (including 0.5-meter resolution from LIDAR in some areas). Also, interpolation methods were used to describe the flood plains with the greatest detail possible. Based on the topographic data available and the needs for each specific zone, the computational meshes adopted varied between 2 meters and 40 meters, with the most frequent resolution being 10x10 meters. The number of computational points for the different grid meshes varied between 101,500 and 1,402,800. All the data processing was done inside OpenFlows FLOOD.

Regarding the boundary conditions, a schematic variable hydrograph was imposed in the river side to represent the flood rise as a function of different flows and velocities. In the critical areas under the tidal influence, the imposed sea level considered was the average height from two consecutive spring tides; and in the estuarine zones, an overelevation (representing the storm surge from the atmospheric pressure, wind, and waves) was also considered.

A set of 22 flood risk critical zones were assessed under three different return periods (20, 100, and 1,000 years). Multiple inundation maps were generated.

Models have also been run for calibration and validation for historic events.

Using Flood Marks from Previous Incidents

The existing marks in infrastructure that were recorded from previous flood events have been compared with the simulated

scenarios. Although some of these marks did not specifically refer to the instant, or the associated flow, this qualitative analysis allowed the team to make a positive comparison between the modeled and measured water heights, enabling them to validate the models.

The Bottom Line: Quantified Risks Promote Suitable Actions and Prioritization

The generated inundation maps allowed for the development of a realistic risk assessment for the areas of study, resulting in the same number of flood risk maps. The flood risk quantification integrated the hazard mapping, which was modeled from inundation maps and the exposure obtained from the consequence mapping.

The quantified risk maps for all those critical areas provided valuable instruments to project suitable actions capable of preventing, protecting, and mitigating the potential effects derived from future flood events in the next 20 years. All these actions were later included in the different Inundation Risk Management Plans developed by the APA as an important management instrument. The identification of the most critical areas and infrastructures allowed them to efficiently prioritize the implementation of specific actions, which is particularly relevant when resources are scarce.

Inundation maps and flood risk maps have been produced for all these places and are now public on the environmental agencies website: <https://sniamb.apambiente.pt/content/inundações-diretiva-200760ce-portugal-continental>.

References

1. <https://www.unisdr.org/we/inform/publications/42809>
2. Winsemius H C et al 2016 Global drivers of future river flood risk Nat. Clim. Change 6 381–5
3. IPCC, Managing the Risks of Extreme Events and Disasters to Advance Climate Change Adaptation. A Special Report of Working Groups I and II of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (Cambridge Univ. Press, 2012).
4. <http://science.sciencemag.org/content/357/6351/588> (DOI: 10.1126/science.aan2506)



The image shows the maximum water depth for three different return periods.